

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/598,384	06/21/2000	Yasuaki Hirano	204552018400	6872	
7	590 03/20/2003				
Barry E. Bretschneider			EXAMINER		
Morrison & Fo 1650 Tysons B			PHAN, T	PHAN, TRONG Q	
Suite 300 McLean, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1.1020011, 111			2818		
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2003	}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/598,384

HIRANO

Examiner

TRONG PHAN

Art Unit 2818

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sneet with the correspondence address
Theref rejection allows	EPLY FILED <u>Feb 24, 2003</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ince; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ь)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
ext app	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the illing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. X	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	\square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: see attached explanation.
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. X	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached explanation.
6. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. 🛭	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $\mathbb X$ will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an
	explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
	explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 3-6
]	explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 3-6 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. 🗆	explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 3-6 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🗆	explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 3-6 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

Application/Control Number: 09/598,384 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

ADVISORY ACTION

Applicant's request for reconsideration filed on 2/24/03 has been fully considered but it is NOT persuasive to place the application in condition for allowance because of the following reasons:

- A) The proposed amendments to claims 5-6 are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplify the issues for appeal because it raises a new issue that would require further consideration and/or search;
- B) The drawings of the present invention still do not have any support for the feature of "the absolute value of the non-select voltage applied to the non-select word lines is smaller than the than the absolute value of the voltage applied to the substrate (well)" as recited in lines 1-4, page 18 of the original specification;
- C) Tanaka et al., 6,285,591, does discloses the teaching of "the absolute value of the non-select voltage of -12V applied to the non-select word lines is equal to the than the absolute value of the voltage of -12V applied to the substrate (P-well type region)" (see lines 28-39, column 18) as clearly set forth in the office action of 9/26/02, paper #12;
- D) Accordingly, the FINAL rejection of claims 3-6 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as set forth in the FINAL office action of 10/23/02, paper # 14, is totally proper and is sustained.

Art Unit: 2818

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TRONG PHAN whose telephone number is (703) 308-4870 and email address is trong.phan@uspto.gov

TRONG PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 14, 2003